

REMARKS

The Office Action objected to claims 45, 46, and 51 for informalities. The Office Action rejected claims 43-46 and 50 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In this Amendment, Applicants have amended claims 43, 44, 50, and 51. Applicants have canceled claims 45 and 46. Applicants have not added any new claims. Accordingly, claims 18-23, 26, 29, 31, 32, 34-41, 43, 44, and 47-53 will be pending after entry of this Amendment. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejections.

I. Objection to Claims 45-46 for informalities

The Office Action objected to claims 45-46 as depending from a rejected base claim. Applicants have canceled claims 45-46 rendering the objections and rejection of the claims moot.

II. Objection to Claim 51 for informalities

The Office Action objected to claim 51 because claim 51 depended from canceled claim 24. Applicants have amended claim 51 to depend from claim 18. Applicants have also amended claim 51 to recite “hydrocarbons base (B3)” rather than “cycloparaffinic hydrocarbons cut (B3)” for reasons of antecedent basis. In view of the amendment to the dependency of the claim, Applicants respectfully request that the objection to claim 51 be withdrawn.

III. Rejection of Claims 43-46 and 50 under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Office Action rejected claims 43-46 and 50 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Specifically, the Office Action rejected claims 43-46 as reciting “its benzene content” because there are multiple possible elements to which “its” could refer. Applicants have canceled claims 45-46. Applicants have amended claim 43 to recite “a benzene

content of the fuel” and claim 44 (which depends from claim 43) to recite “the benzene content of the fuel”.

The Office Action rejected claim 50 as reciting “said hydrocarbons base (B3) essentially composed of cycloparaffins comprising 6 to 8 carbon atoms” when the antecedent basis for (B3) recited that (B3) is “essentially composed of cyclohexane”. Applicants have amended claim 50 to replace “essentially composed of cycloparaffins comprising 6 to 8 carbon atoms” with “essentially composed of cyclohexane”.

In view of the amendments, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections of claim 43-44 and 50 under and 26-52 under § 112, 2nd paragraph be withdrawn.

IV. Rescission of any Prior Disclaimers and Request to Revisit Art

Applicants do not surrender any equivalents to any amended limitation or elements of any claim. In reviewing the claims that are submitted with this Amendment, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner review each particular claim in this application on its own without reference to past or future amendments to and arguments in support of unrelated claims in this application. For a particular claim, unrelated claims are claims that are not in the same claim set as the particular claim. A claim set includes only the claims that depend directly or indirectly from one independent claim as well as the independent claim itself. Moreover, in reviewing the claims that are submitted with this Amendment, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner review each particular claim in this application on its own without reference to past or future claim amendments and arguments in any application related to this application. Furthermore, in reviewing any amended claim that was previously amended, Applicants request that the Examiner disregard prior amendments to the claim that have been removed in this Amendment or substantially modified in this amendment so as to effectively remove these prior amendments. Accordingly, any prior art listed or referenced in this or any parent applications may need to be re-visited.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that all the claims, namely claims 18-23, 26, 29, 31, 32, 34-41, 43, 44, and 47-53, are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections is requested. Allowance is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date.

Applicants have submitted all known required fees and petitions. Accordingly, Applicants believe that no additional fees, petitions for extensions of time, other petitions, and/or other forms of relief are required for the submission of this Amendment and Response. However, in the unlikely event that the Commissioner determines that additional fees, petitions for extensions of time, other petitions, and/or other forms of relief are required, Applicants hereby make such petitions as are required, request such extensions of time and other forms of relief as are required, and authorize the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions for extensions of time, other petitions, and/or other forms of relief to **Deposit Account No. 50-3804** referencing **CABH.P0004**. Respectfully

Submitted,

September 29, 2010

/Adam Littman/

Date

Adam Littman
Reg. No. 61,014

Adeli & Tollen LLP
11940 San Vicente Blvd.Suite 100
Los Angeles, CA 90049
Tel. (310) 442-9300 x307
Fax. (310) 785-9558